CANADIAN PAEDIATRIC SOCIETY

AAPN 78-01

Statement

Nutrition Committee of the Canadian Paediatric Society and the Committee on Nutrition of the American Academy of Pediatrics

Breast-Feeding — A Commentary in Celebration of the International Year of the Child, 1979

Despite increasing evidence for the apparent superiority of human milk, formula-feeding has progressively supplanted breast-feeding throughout much of the industrialized world, with the exception of the Soviet Union and Israel.¹ The decline of breast-feeding in industrialized society began about 50 years ago, then spread to developing countries. This change in feeding patterns has had implications for infant morbidity and mortality and for the economy of those nations which can least afford to waste their resources.¹

The need to intensify the promotion of a return to breast-feeding has been stated in several documents.^{2,3} A resolution adopted by the World Health Organization in May 1974 urged all member countries to undertake vigorous action,⁴ and an International Pediatric Association seminar on nutrition in 1975 placed special emphasis on education programs.⁵

For much of the population in developing countries, both economic and health considerations speak conclusively for breast-feeding.^{2.6} The physiologic role of breast-feeding has received less emphasis in the industrialized world because of the low morbidity and mortality of bottle-fed infants, which has resulted from nutritional and technological advances in the formulation and manufacture of infant formulas7.8 as well as from the higher standards of housing, sanitation, and public health services in these countries.9 However, newer information suggests that significant advantages still exist for the breast-fed infant, 10.11 including one study in which a lower morbidity was reported during the first year of life.12 Therefore, it seemed timely to examine and evaluate present-day information, to provide up-to-date guidance for physicians in counseling mothers with regard to feeding their infants, to discuss factors related to the decline of breast-feeding in the United States and Canada, and to propose ways and means to encourage breast-feeding if the advantages of breast-feeding prove compelling.

NUTRITIONAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF HUMAN MILK

On teleological grounds, it is reasonable to suppose that the milk of each species is well adapted to the particular needs of that species. On this basis, the various properties of human milk will be compared with those of infant formulas.

Nutrition

Differences in the composition of human milk and unmodified cow's milk have been known for many years. 13.14 Early attempts to substitute unmodified cow's milk for human milk were unsatisfactory for feeding infants. Heat treatment, homogenization, and the addition of carbohydrate to cow's milk improved to some extent its usefulness and tolerance by infants, but protein and ash levels were still unphysiologically high, and the fat was absorbed poorly.

Newer knowledge of nutritional and physiological needs of infants and advances in technology have led to the development of newer infant formulas which provide many of the nutritional and physiological characteristics of breast milk. However, there are still differences between infant formulas and breast milk, and we believe human milk is nutritionally superior to formulas for the following reasons.

Fat and Cholesterol. Lipids of human milk are better absorbed by infants than those of cow's milk, ¹⁵ mainly because of the fatty acid composition and the position of the fatty acids on the glycerol molecule. Human milk has a high oleic acid content, ¹⁶ and the palmitate residue is mainly in the 2-position of the glycerol molecule. ¹⁷ This improves its digestibility. The presence of significant lipolytic activity in human milk may also help fat absorption. ¹⁸ Human milk lipids are better absorbed than those of earlier marketed infant formulas. ^{15,19,20} Vegetable oils, which replace butterfat in newer infant formulas, ^{7,8} have

significantly improved fat absorption¹⁶—even in the first month of life—to practically the level achieved with breast milk.¹⁵

In preterm newborn infants fed formulas, fat malabsorption may still be as high as 25% to 30%. Medium-chain triglycerides (MCT) permit fat absorption similar to that from breast milk. Poor fat absorption makes it difficult for preterm infants to meet energy requirements, and nitrogen retention may also be decreased. Breast milk or MCT-containing infant formulas help over-

come this problem.

When the butterfat of milk is replaced by vegetable oils in infant formulas to provide better fat absorption, most of the cholesterol is removed. Thus, these formulas are practically devoid of cholesterol, but human milk contains cholesterol. Cholesterol may play a significant role in early feeding of the infant. Even though humans synthesize cholesterol efficiently, some authors have suggested that exogenous cholesterol for formation of nerve tissue or for synthesis of bile salts may be useful to the infant. It would be difficult to determine this experimentally. Another question is prompted by animal studies suggesting that the ingestion of cholesterol during infancy may induce enzymes that can subsequently better metabolize cholesterol and thereby result in lower serum cholesterol levels early in life.24.25 This has not been confirmed in other animal studies or retrospective and cross-sectional studies carried out in infants. High cholesterol feeding did not protect the subjects against high serum cholesterol levels later in life.26,27 An ongoing, prospective, longitudinal study in the Boston area²⁸ seems to show that 30-year-old adults who were exclusively breast-fed for at least two months had significantly lower serum cholesterol levels than those who had been breast-fed for less than two months. This finding is now being tested in a larger group of subjects from four other longitudinal studies. Because subjects in the Boston study had received evaporated cow's milk (which does contain cholesterol), it is difficult to attribute the findings to cholesterol per se. Active research is needed to determine the effects of dietary cholesterol or breast-feeding on serum cholesterol level and the incidence of arterial disease in later life.

A recent concern about the fat composition of infant formulas is the relatively high polyunsaturated fatty acid content of most of them. Vegetable oils, which are well absorbed by the infant, are usually higher in polyunsaturated fatty acid content than the fat in "average" breast milk. Linoleic acid or polyunsaturated fatty acid levels

in human milk vary from 8% to 20% of the fat, ^{14,29} depending on the type of fat consumed by the mother, but the average level for human milk in recent years is considered to be about 14%. ³⁰ The physiologic consequences of feeding the full-term infant a formula which has a linoleic acid content two or three times the average in human milk are not known. However, in preterm infants, formulas with high levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids may cause a relative or absolute deficiency of vitamin E³¹ (characterized by hemolytic anemia) as a result of increased lipid peroxidation, particularly when iron supplements are also given. This is reviewed in a recent statement on feeding low-birth-weight infants. ³²

Protein. The neonatal and suckling periods are characterized by a level of anabolic activity almost never equaled later in life. This is especially true in low-birth-weight infants. Clearly then, it is vital to provide an optimum source and level of nitrogen intake. 33.34 Most formulas used for full-term and preterm infants are based on cow's milk protein. Recent studies 55.36 suggest that current estimates of protein requirements of preterm infants may be too high because they are based on cow's milk protein rather than on human milk protein. The total level of protein in formulas is higher than in human milk to provide a

margin of safety for the infant.

The proteins in human milk differ qualitatively from those in cow's milk. In the latter, the casein/albumin-globulin whey ratio is approximately 76:24; in human milk it is approximately 40:60. The major fraction of albumin-type protein in cow's milk whey is composed of β -lactoglobulin, which is not present in human milk.37 The major albumin of human milk whey is α -lactoglobulin. Some milk-based formulas have been made from demineralized whey and milk to provide a casein/whey ratio similar to that in human milk. The sulfur amino acids in cow's milk are provided mainly by methionine, with a small amount of cystine; relatively more cystine is present in human milk. Because of this lower protein content, human milk also contains less aromatic amino acids than cow's milk. Thus, the amino acid composition of human milk is particularly suited to the metabolic peculiarities of the newborn infant, especially those of the preterm infant, whose liver is inefficient in converting methionine to cystine and in metabolizing tyrosine.35 There are notable differences between the plasma amino acid patterns of preterm infants fed human milk and those fed cow's milk-based infant formulas, 36 but the importance of this remains unclear.

Breast milk also contains a variety of nucleotides.³⁸ They provide a source of nonprotein nitrogen which has been postulated to play a role in anabolism and growth. In this context, it is interesting to note that recent analyses of human milk from well-nourished mothers who had been lactating for two to three months showed that the average protein concentration was only 0.88 gm/ dl, representing about 75% of the total nitrogen; the remaining 25% was supplied as nonprotein nitrogen.³⁹ Previous estimates of breast milk protein were based on determination of total nitrogen by Kjeldahl N-analysis, which did not distinguish protein nitrogen from nonprotein nitrogen. In cow's milk, only 6% of the total nitrogen is supplied as nonprotein nitrogen⁴⁰; the remainder is supplied as intact protein. Whether some of the factors in the nonprotein nitrogen in human milk are of nutritional significance to the infant remains to be studied.

Iron. The iron content of milk from all mammalian species is low. In a recent study,41 an average of 0.2 to 0.3 µg/ml was found in term human milk. Teleologically, the low iron concentration in human milk may be extremely useful because there are two bacteriostatic proteins in human milk⁴²—lactoferrin and transferrin—which lose their bacteriostatic properties when saturated with iron. A review of the relation of the iron content of milk to the incidence of infection was recently published. 43 Lactoferrin is present in human milk in much higher quantities than in cow's milk.44 The small amount in milk used to make infant formulas is denatured, and its bacteriostatic properties have been lost in processing the formula.

Data suggest that about 50% of the iron in human milk is absorbed; iron in pasteurized cow's milk is less well absorbed. 45-47 McMillan et al. 46 recently reported that the iron in human milk is sufficient to meet the iron requirements of the exclusively breast-fed, full-term infant until he triples his birth weight. It has been postulated that the better availability of iron in human milk, as compared to cow's milk, may be the result of the lower content of protein and phosphorus and the higher levels of lactose and vitamin C.46 Present-day infant formulas include most of these advantages (i.e., lower protein and phosphorus and a greater lactose and vitamin C content). Heat treatment in making the formula has also significantly improved iron absorption.⁴⁸ In 1970, Gross found that about 50% of the iron in infant formula containing 1.4 μg/ml was retained by infants.⁴⁹ The infant fed pasteurized cow's milk too early in life is prone to iron deficiency partly because the milk is a poor source of iron and partly because cow's milk which has not been properly heat-treated causes significant gastrointestinal blood loss in some infants.⁵⁰ This is not found with heat-treated formulas.

Overfeeding and the Obesity Question

The relationship between infant feeding and obesity in later life is still poorly understood, but it has been the subject of many conferences and papers. Desity is extremely prevalent in Canada⁵²; 10% of the men and 30% of the women are obese. Obesity is also prevalent in the United States, where current estimates indicate that 25% to 33% of the population is overweight or obese. The effects of obesity probably include decreased life expectancy, but evidence for increased mortality from hypertension and cardiovascular disease is still conflicting.

Some studies have shown a higher prevalence of obesity in formula-fed infants than in breast-fed infants2; other studies show no difference between formula-fed and breast-fed infants.⁵⁵ Studies have suggested that obese infants may be at increased risk of becoming obese children⁵⁶ and adults,^{57,58} but the evidence is fragmentary and at times conflicting. Although animal studies suggest that early overfeeding increases the cellularity of the adipose tissue, there is also evidence that fat-cell multiplication in humans continues throughout childhood.⁵⁹ In any event, because the first few years of life may be a critical time for adipose tissue development, excessive weight gain should be avoided during this time and throughout childhood. Overfeeding in infants may affect food habits and regulation of energy intake later in childhood and adult life. 40,60 Although current infant feeding practices are associated with a high prevalence of obesity in infants, the extent to which this predisposes to obesity in childhood and adult life is still uncertain.

There are several reasons why breast-feeding may better control caloric intake than formula-feeding. Milk intake by the breast-fed infant is determined primarily by the amount needed to satisfy the infant; the mother of the formula-fed infant may see some formula left in the bottle and induce the infant to consume more. ⁴⁰ In addition, recent studies have shown that milk samples from nursing mothers at the end of feeding contain much higher levels of lipid and protein than at the beginning of the feeding; this change in composition may satiate the infant or in some way signal a cessation of feeding. ⁶¹

However, a more significant fact may be that the early introduction of solid foods, which adds greatly to the caloric intake of the infant, has paralleled the use of infant formulas. In a study in England, twice as many bottle-fed infants as breast-fed infants were receiving solid foods at age 2 months. 62

Immunologic Considerations

At birth the newborn infant is suddenly transferred from a regulated environment to one in which prompt adaptation is required for survival. He must receive adequate nourishment and quickly develop immunologic mechanisms to enable him to exist in a hostile environment. There is increasing evidence that newborn infants can acquire certain important elements of host resistance from breast milk while maturation of his own immune system is taking place. 63 The human breast secretes antibodies to some intestinal microorganisms, and this may help protect breast-fed infants from enteric infections. 64-66 An important recent observation has established the presence of an enteromammary system by which enteric antigen-stimulated mucosal plasma cells in the mother migrate to breast tissue where they secrete antibodies or are secreted directly into breast milk where antibodies are produced.⁶⁷ Most of the factors contributing to immunologic protection cannot be supplied by heat-treated formula.

The critical role of breast-feeding in the prevention of gastroenteritis in infants in developing countries has been demonstrated. Although gastroenteritis is less common in infants in industrialized countries, breast-fed infants have been shown to be less susceptible.⁶⁸ A recent study⁶⁹ further suggests that breast-feeding is protective against intestinal infections, but only when it is an ongoing process. Respiratory infections, meningitis, and Gram-negative sepsis are also reported to be less frequent among breast-fed infants. 12,70,71 However, a small study in an affluent community has shown no difference in resistance to infection between breast- and formula-fed infants.72 A study in a Canadian Eskimo population concluded that children who had been breast-fed for at least one year had an incidence of chronic otitis media that was one eighth that of children who had been bottle-fed as infants.73

The newborn infant does not receive a full complement of antibodies transplacentally. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) is provided in this manner; IgA and IgM are not. The serum levels of these three immunoglobulins are significantly higher in colostrum-fed infants. Some intestinal absorption of these macromolecules may take place,⁷⁴ although, unlike other animal species, human

colostral antibodies are not absorbed from the intestine in significant quantities during the neonatal period. In colostrum and breast milk, secretory IgA is the dominant immunoglobulin.⁷⁵ It is resistant to proteolysis and confers passive mucosal protection of the gastrointestinal tract against the penetration of intestinal organisms and antigens.⁷⁶

Breast milk is also a source of the iron-binding whey protein, lactoferrin. It is normally about one third saturated with iron and has an inhibitory effect on Escherichia coli in the intestine. Its bacteriostatic effect is diminished as it becomes saturated with iron. 47,77 Heating also results in loss of its iron-binding capacity as well as of its inhibitory effect on E. coli. Arguments against the fortification of infant formula with iron, on the basis of saturating lactoferrin, have no validity because heat-treated infant formula has no inhibitory effect on the growth of E. coli when compared to fresh, unprocessed human or cow's milk. The addition of iron (12 mg/liter) does not change the rate of growth of E. coli in formula.⁷⁸ There is no evidence of an increased incidence of infection in infants fed iron-fortified formulas compared with those fed unfortified formulas.

Lysozymes are bacteriolytic enzymes which are more abundant in human milk than in cow's milk. ⁷⁹ Bacterial lysis by IgA antibodies does not occur unless lysozymes are present. ⁸⁰ The biologic importance of low concentrations of specific complement fractions C3 and C4 in human milk is unknown at present.

Living leukocytes are normally present in human colostrum. 79.81 Macrophages comprise about 90% of the cells and are found in a concentration of about 2,100/cu mm. These cells have the ability to synthesize complement, lysozyme, and lactoferrin. Lymphocytes comprise 10% of the cells; some are T cells which may have the ability to transfer delayed hypersensitivity from the mother to her infant; others are B cells which synthesize IgA. Although the biologic importance of the colostral cells to the infant has yet to be determined, pregnant women orally immunized with a nonpathogenic strain of E. coli during the last month of gestation produce colostrum with IgA-producing plasma cells that can synthesize antibodies to *E. coli* liposaccharide.⁸²

Another component of the possible "nutritional immunity" conferred by breast milk is the maintenance of a microflora in which *Lactobacillus bifidus* is predominant.⁸³ The alimentary canal is sterile at birth; within a few hours bacterial colonization occurs. After three or four days, more than 99% of the flora consists of the anaer-

obic L. bifidus, with a paucity of putrefactive bacteria such as the Gram-negative anaerobes (Bacteroides, Proteus, Clostridium, and E. coli). The mechanisms by which a wholly breast-fed infant is able to maintain an acid stool with L. bifidus as the predominant organism are poorly understood, but they probably involve several complex, interdependent factors, including the low buffering capacity of breast milk,84 the high lactose content of milk,84 specific L. bifidus growth-promoting factors,38 and the destruction of ingested E. coli by lactoferrin in the alkaline pH of the small intestine. 79 Even though most infant formulas provide a lactose content similar to that of human milk and a buffering capacity almost as low as that of human milk, the predominantly L. bifidus flora is not maintained. With the introduction of supplementary milk feedings or solid foods in breast-fed infants, the microflora changes to the usual adult type.

Breast milk also spares the gastrointestinal tract from exposure to foreign food antigens at a time when macromolecules may be readily absorbed85 and may cause a local reaction. Evidence suggests that allergic manifestations later in childhood (such as eczema, rhinitis, and asthma) are more prevalent in bottle-fed infants than in breast-fed infants, presumably because of the early exposure to cow's milk and other food antigens.86-88 The incidence of cow's milk allergy is low, but, when it does occur, it may cause a wide spectrum of clinical symptoms and affect the jejunal mucosal histology and growth.89,90 In a Boston study,28 a slightly reduced occurrence of allergic manifestations during childhood and adult life was found in persons who were wholly breast-fed up to 2 months of age. This reduction was more evident when breast-feeding was coupled with a negative history of allergy. A recent study has noted a reduction in allergic disease in breast-fed infants with a strong family history of allergy, strict environmental control, and delayed immunization.91

Immunologic immaturity of the gut is considered to be a factor of possible importance in the pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis. This frequently fatal condition is rare in low-birth-weight, breast-fed neonates. Its frequency is apparently increased in preterm infants fed hypertonic formulas. A similar disorder can be produced experimentally in goats by feeding dialyzed milk of higher osmolality. Fresh rat breast milk is protective in the newborn rat subjected daily to hypoxia. However, the degree of protection offered by breast milk against necrotizing enterocolitis in the human infant is not yet

known. At a recent workshop on human milk in premature infant feeding, the need for active research to determine if it is protective and to identify the properties most important for such protection was emphasized.⁹⁵

Much remains to be learned about the role of the secretory immunoglobulin system and its relationship to viral, bacterial, and food antigens in the early months of life.

The sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) is the most frequent cause of death in infants between 1 and 12 months of age. 96 It has been reported by some 97.98 to occur significantly less often in breastfed infants, although others 99,100 have found no association with the type of feeding. SIDS is probably a multifactorial condition of presently unknown etiology and pathogenesis.

Miscellaneous

The low renal solute load in breast milk provides a margin of safety for the young infant with physiologically immature renal function.² This was extremely important some years ago when high-protein, high-solute formulas were fed. It is of less consequence today because infant formulas now provide renal solute loads which are not greatly in excess of those of breast milk. In low-birth-weight infants weighing less than 1,500 gm, the low sodium¹⁰¹ and calcium¹⁰² content of formulas, and perhaps of pooled term human milk,103 may lead to hyponatremia and impaired growth and provide insufficient calcium for skeletal mineralization. Based on these considerations, some increases in mineral levels might be made in formulas intended for use by premature infants to achieve a mineral retention equivalent to that in utero.32

At a global level, breast-feeding may play a role as a means of contraception, 104 but it is not reliable for the individual mother. There may be a significant delay in ovulation in many mothers when infants are fully breast-fed. Ovulation and menstruation are delayed for at least ten weeks in some women, and up to six months in others. 1,104,105 In some cultures, the contraceptive effect is attributed in part to the taboo of sexual intercourse while the mother is breast-feeding the infant.1,106 Although earlier oral contraceptives—which contained large doses of both estrogens and progestins-tended to suppress lactation, the newer preparations-which contain progestins alone—do not interfere with milk secretion and may even increase it.104

Many drugs ingested by a lactating mother will be present in her milk and excreted in amounts depending on various factors, such as blood levels,

dissociation constants, and fat solubility. This subject has been well reviewed.107 Drugs such as antithyroid compounds, antimetabolites, anticoagulants, and most cathartics may be hazardous to the nursing infant, and a nursing mother should be advised not to take these drugs. 108 Recent findings of organochlorine insecticides such as DDT, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other environmental pollutants in breast milk have raised questions which have not as yet been resolved in regard to the safety of breast-feeding by all mothers. 109 The restriction of the use of DDT resulted in a decrease in the concentrations found.110,111 No such change has been seen for PCBs, but banning of the compound is more recent.111

Early and prolonged contact between a mother and her newborn infant can be an important factor in mother-infant "bonding" and in the development of a mother's subsequent behavior to her infant. 112.113 It has been reported that mothers who have had prolonged physical ("skinto-skin") contact with their newborn infants exhibit greater soothing behavior, engage in more eye-to-eye contact with the infant later in infancy, and are more reluctant to leave their infants with someone else than mothers who have had the lesser amount of contact which prevails in most maternity wards. 113 Breast-feeding may promote maternal-infant bonding, particularly when this contact is desired by the mother. 10

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BREAST-FEEDING

A steady decline in breast-feeding was documented in both developed and developing countries until recently. Before 1950, in the industrialized world, breast-feeding was more common among the lower social classes. However, in the past 10 to 15 years the decline in breast-feeding as a concomitant of socioeconomic development has changed. Data from the United States show that breast-feeding is even less commonly practiced among lower income groups than among higher income groups.¹¹⁴ In the 1940s, approximately 65% of the infants in the United States were breast-fed while in the hospital.¹¹⁵ By 1972, only 28% and 15% were nursed by their mothers by the time they reached the age of 1 week and 2 months, respectively.116 Statistics from the United Kingdom also reveal a significant decline, with figures of 60% in 1948 and a little more than 40% in 1968.117 In a marketing survey completed in 1973 by Ross Laboratories in Canada, 35% of the infants were breast-fed during the first week of life; by 3 and 6 months, only 17% and 6%,

respectively, were still breast-fed. Consumer Reports states that, in 1975 in the United States, 38% of the women leaving the hospital after childbirth reported they were breast-feeding. In 1976, surveys by Mead Johnson Company and Ross Laboratories found that 53% of infants in the United States and 48% of those in Canada were breast-fed at the time of discharge from the hospital.

FACTORS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLINE OF BREAST-FEEDING

Historically, bottle-feeding was intended to replace the wet nurse when breast-feeding by the mother was not possible, because many wet nurses were irresponsible and only the wealthy could afford a healthy wet nurse. 118 Pasteurization of milk helped initiate sanitation practices which permitted some substitution of cow's milk for breast-feeding. Late in the 19th century, heat treatment of evaporated milk reduced curd tension; the addition of carbohydrate early in the 20th century decreased excessive protein and electrolyte levels, which further improved bottlefeeding. The technologic progress and nutritional discoveries of more recent decades made bottlefeeding a viable alternative. Bottle-feeding gradually replaced breast-feeding and resulted in the development of infant formulas which provide the best alternative for meeting nutritional needs during the first year when breast-feeding is unsuccessful, inappropriate, or stopped early.¹⁰

With the profound social transformations which have taken place in the Western world, breast-feeding is frequently considered incompatible with modern life-styles or with work outside the home. Furthermore, the advantages of breastfeeding in terms of nutrition, immunity, and psychophysiologic interaction between the mother and her offspring are frequently considered to be outweighed by possible inconvenience, by fear or failure of lactation, and by anxieties concerning infection and/or cosmetic effects on the breasts. In addition, the act of breast-feeding is frequently regarded as a source of embarrassment or shame, and it is usually carried out privately. It is a curious commentary on our society that we tolerate all degrees of explicitness in our literature and mass media as regards sex and violence, but the normal act of breast-feeding is taboo.

When breast-feeding was universal, as it still is in some societies, the "art" was handed down through the generations. This familiar personal heritage was comforting and reassuring to the young mother. In Western societies, the new mother frequently receives little encouragement to breast-feed from her husband, relatives, friends, and even physicians. Furthermore, because formula-feeding is safe, acceptable, and promoted as "nearly identical" in nutritional composition to breast milk, the new mother may have little inclination to breast-feed.

Nowadays, mothers in many maternity wards are expected to formula-feed their infants for the convenience of the hospital staff. To enable the new mother to breast-feed, she needs free access to her infant, knowledgeable help, encouragement, and instruction. Recent studies have shown a dramatic increase in breast-feeding with inhospital instruction from staff and mothers. 119-121 Sedgwick 122 has found that 96% of the mothers were able to breast-feed successfully when circumstances were favorable.

Successful lactation is the result of reflex interactions between the mother and her offspring. 123 Stimulation of the breast, the areola, and the nipple leads to the secretion of prolactin in the mother's circulation and to milk secretion in the alveoli.124 The suckling stimulus brings about the release of oxytocin, which contracts myoepithelial cells around the alveoli, thereby ejecting milk into lacteals. 125 Emotional tension and stress readily inhibit this reflex; therefore, the anxieties of the young mother during a short stay on an obstetric ward-where she often receives inadequate instruction and little emotional support-may explain why success is elusive, even when the mother wishes to breast-feed. The main cause of lactation failure is thought to be inhibition of the "milk ejection reflex."

Drugs such as chlorpromazine and oxytocin nasal spray¹⁰⁵ can be used for a short period to assist a mother who is having difficulty with "let down" in establishing successful lactation. The reasons for stopping breast-feeding after the mother goes home include cracked nipples and infection 126 or erroneous advice to adhere to a rigid three- to four-hour feeding schedule.105,112 Many infants cry to be fed every two to three hours during the first two weeks of life. This can lead some mothers to feel that they have an inadequate supply of milk. If mothers resort to supplemental feeding, lactation may cease within a week or so because the development of full milk production is dependent on emptying the breasts.105.127 This is also the problem with the advice to feed "ten minutes on each breast," which may deprive the infant of the nutritional benefits of milk of a somewhat different composition at the end of a feed.⁶¹ Good breast-feeding techniques are described in detail by Applebaum¹⁰⁵; when they are practiced, breast-feeding can be a convenient and pleasant way for the majority of women to feed their infants.^{112,128}

WAYS TO INCREASE BREAST-FEEDING

Breast-feeding is strongly recommended for full-term infants, except in the few instances where specific contraindications exist. Ideally, breast milk should be practically the only source of nutrients for the first four to six months for most infants. When the nursing mother is healthy and well fed, fluoride and possibly vitamin D may be the only supplements which need to be provided to the infant. Iron may also be given after about four months.⁴⁷

Because the decision to breast-feed or not is the result of many factors—including education, cultural background, and personality—information about breast-feeding should be included in nutrition and sex education in schools. ^{129,130} This information and education should also be provided for boys because the husband's attitudes are important in successful lactation. ^{5,129}

There is also a need for all physicians to become much more knowledgeable about infant nutrition and the physiology, value, and technique of breast-feeding. Education about breast-feeding should be directed to the undergraduate curriculum of physicians and nurses and to the residency training program of obstetricians and pediatricians.

The routine in many hospitals makes breast-feeding difficult; therefore, efforts should be made to change obstetrical ward and neonatal unit practices to increase the opportunity for successful lactation. Changes may include the following:

- 1. Decrease the amount of sedation and/or anesthesia given to the mother during labor and delivery because large amounts can impair suckling in the infant.¹⁰⁵
- 2. Avoid separation of the mother from her infant during the first 24 hours.
- 3. Breast-feed infants on an "on demand" schedule rather than on a rigid three- to four-hour schedule, and discourage routine supplementary formula feedings.
- 4. Reappraise physical facilities to provide easy access of the mother to her infant. Roomingin of mother and infant is important to successful lactation.

Many women require encouragement to foster the "milk ejection reflex"¹²⁶; therefore, the personnel involved in the care of pregnant women and new mothers should be psychologically oriented toward breast-feeding and should be well informed about the preparation of the breasts, lactation, and the management of breast-feeding. Nursing personnel with personal experience in breast-feeding can be extremely helpful.¹³¹ In addition, mothers should be taught the details of breast-feeding during prenatal classes as well as during the postpartum period. Consultation between maternity services and members of La Leche League International (9616 Minneapolis Avenue, Franklin Park, IL 60131) or the Human Lactation Center Ltd. (666 Sturgis Highway, Westport, CT 06880) may be helpful in encouraging breast-feeding.

The availability of infant formulas and other infant foods has influenced infant feeding practices throughout the world. Apathy and lack of knowledge about infant nutrition by health professionals and the medical profession have been important problems. Effective and, at times, unfair publicity of formula-feeding, lack of financial support from governments in developing countries, and the need for many women to work outside the home have also been contributory factors. These factors have resulted in a decrease in breast-feeding in sections of society where formula use may not be suitable. 1,3,132,133 Breastfeeding along with provision of inexpensive "multi-mix" weaning foods have been suggested as two immediate priorities in developing countries. Also, supplies of infant formulas similar in nutritional quality to breast milk must be available for infants who cannot breast-feed; particular care must be paid to ensure safe water and sanitary conditions for mothers using these formulas.

Many women in both industrialized and developing countries now work outside the home, either for economic or personal reasons. Increasing numbers of married women have a full-time career that they are either reluctant or unable to give up. Therefore, it is recommended that countries adopt legislation to enable new mothers to obtain three to four months of leave after delivery to care for their infants. In addition, studies need to be carried out to determine whether it is feasible or practical for mothers to continue to breast-feed their infants—possibly in day nurseries adjacent to places of work—after returning to work.

SUMMARY

- 1. Full-term newborn infants should be breastfed, except if there are specific contraindications or when breast-feeding is unsuccessful.
- Education about breast-feeding should be provided in schools for all children, and better

- education about breast-feeding and infant nutrition should be provided in the curriculum of physicians and nurses. Information about breast-feeding should also be presented in public communications media.
- 3. Prenatal instruction should include both theoretical and practical information about breast-feeding.
- 4. Attitudes and practices in prenatal clinics and in maternity wards should encourage a climate which favors breast-feeding. The staff should include nurses and other personnel who are not only favorably disposed toward breast-feeding but also knowledgeable and skilled in the art.
- 5. Consultation between maternity services and agencies committed to breast-feeding should be strengthened.
- 6. Studies should be conducted on the feasibility of breast-feeding infants at day nurseries adjacent to places of work subsequent to an appropriate leave of absence following the birth of an infant.

COMMITTEE ON NUTRITION

Lewis A. Barness, M.D., Chairman; Alvin M. Mauer, M.D.; Arnold S. Anderson, M.D.; Peter R. Dallman, M.D.; Gilbert B. Forbes, M.D.; Buford L. Nichols, Jr., M.D.; Claude Roy, M.D.; Nathan J. Smith, M.D.; W. Allan Walker, M.D.; Myron Winick, M.D.

Initiated by the Nutrition Committee of the Canadian Paediatric Society, this statement was prepared by both the Committee on Nutrition of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Nutrition Committee of the Canadian Paediatric Society.

REFERENCES

 Berg A: The Nutrition Factor: Its Role in National Development. Washington, DC, Brookings Insti-

tute, 1973, pp 89-106.

Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy: Present-Day Practice in Infant Feeding: Report on Health and Social Subjects No. 9. Report of a Working Party of the Panel on Child Nutrition, Great Britain Department of Health and Social Security. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1974.

3. Jelliffe DB, Jelliffe EFP: Human milk, nutrition, and the world resource crisis. Science 188:557, 1975.

- Twenty Seventh World Health Assembly, Part I: Infant Nutrition and Breast Feeding. Official Records of the World Health Organization, No. 217, 1974, p 20.
- Recommendations for action programmes to encourage breast feeding. Acta Paediatr Scand 65:275, 1976.
- 6. Wade N: Bottle-feeding: Adverse effects of a western technology. *Science* 184:45, 1974.
- Lindquist B: Standards and indications for industrially produced infant formulas. Acta Paediatr Scand 64:677, 1975.
- 8. Sarett HP: Nutritional value of commercially

- produced foods for infants. Bibl Nutr Dieta 18:246, 1973.
- Latham MC: Nutrition and infection in national development. Science 188:561, 1975.
- Committee on Nutrition: Commentary on breast feeding and infant formulas, including proposed standards for formulas. *Pediatrics* 57:278, 1976.
- 11. Vahlquist B: New knowledge concerning the biological properties of human milk. *Bull Int Pediatr Assoc* 6:22, April 1976.
- Cunningham AS: Morbidity in breast-fed and artificially fed infants. J Pediatr 90:726, 1977.
- Hess JH: Infant Feeding: A Handbook for a Practitioner. Chicago, American Medical Association, 1923.
- Macy IG, Kelly HG, Sloan RE: The Composition of Milks, publication 254. Washington, DC, National Academy of Science—National Research Council, 1953.
- Fomon SJ, Ziegler EE, Thomas LN, et al: Excretion of fat by normal full-term infants fed various milks and formulas. Am J Clin Nutr 23:1299, 1970.
- Williams ML, Rose CS, Morrow G III, et al: Calcium and fat absorption in neonatal period. Am J Clin Nutr 23:1322, 1970.
- Filer LJ, Mattson FH, Fomon SJ: Triglyceride configuration and fat absorption by the human infant. J Nutr 99:293, 1970.
- Hernell O: Human milk lipases: III. Physiological implications of the bile-salt stimulated lipase. Eur J Clin Invest 5:267, 1975.
- Widdowson EM: Absorption and excretion of fat, nitrogen and minerals from "filled" milks by babies one week old. Lancet 2:1099, 1965.
- Hanna FM, Navarrete DA, Hsu FA: Calcium-fatty acid absorption in term infants fed human milk and prepared formulas simulating human milk. Pediatrics 45:216, 1970.
- Zoula J, Melichar V, Novak M, et al: Nitrogen and fat retention in premature infants fed breast milk, "humanized" cow's milk or half skimmed cow's milk. Acta Paediatr Scand 55:26, 1966.
- Tantibhedhyangkul P, Hashim SA: Clinical and physiologic aspects of medium-chain triglycerides:
 Alleviation of steatorrhea in premature infants.
 Bull NY Acad Med 47:17, 1971.
- Roy CC, Ste-Marie M, Chartrand L, et al: Correction
 of the malabsorption of the preterm infant with a
 medium-chain triglyceride formula. *J Pediatr*86:446, 1975.
- Reiser R, Sidelman Z: Control of serum cholesterol homeostasis by cholesterol in the milk of the suckling rat. J Nutr 102:1009, 1972.
- Hahn P, Kirby L: Immediate and late effects of premature weaning and of feeding a high fat or high carbohydrate diet to weanling rats. J Nutr 103:690, 1973.
- Friedman G, Goldberg SJ: Concurrent and subsequent serum cholesterols of breast- and formula-fed infants. Am J Clin Nutr 28:42, 1975.
- Glueck CJ, Tsang R, Balistreri W, Fallat R: Plasma and dietary cholesterol in infancy: Effects of early low or moderate dietary cholesterol intake on subsequent response to increased dietary cholesterol. Metabolism 21:1181, 1972.
- Vladian I, Reed RB: Adult health related to child growth and development: A follow-up of the longitudinal studies of child health and develop-

- ment. Harvard School of Public Health. Unpublished data.
- Potter JM, Nestel PJ: The effects of dietary fatty acids and cholesterol on the milk lipids of lactating women and the plasma cholesterol of breast-fed infants. Am J Clin Nutr 29:54, 1976.
- Guthrie HA, Picciano MF, Sheehe D: Fatty acid patterns of human milk. J Pediatr 90:39, 1977.
- Williams ML, Shott RJ, O'Neal PL, Oski FA: Role of dietary iron and fat on vitamin E deficiency anemia of infancy. N Engl J Med 292:887, 1975.
- Committee on Nutrition: Nutritional needs of low birth weight infants. Pediatrics 60:519, 1977.
- Ghadimi H, Arulanantham K, Rathi M: Evaluation of nutritional management of the low birth weight newborn. Am J Clin Nutr 26:473, 1973.
- Winick M, Noble A: Cellular response in rats during malnutrition at various ages. J Nutr 89:300, 1969.
- Raiha NCR: Biochemical basis for nutritional management of preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 53:147, 1974.
- Raiha NCR, Heinonen K, Rassin DK, Gaull GE: Milk protein quantity and quality in low-birth weight infants: I. Metabolic responses and effects on growth. *Pediatrics* 57:659, 1976.
- 37. Bell K, McKenzie HA: β -lactoglobulins. Nature 204:1275, 1964.
- Gyorgy P: The uniqueness of human milk: Biochemical aspects. Am J Clin Nutr 24:970, 1971.
- Lonnerdal B, Forsum E, Hambraeus L: The protein content of human milk: I. A transversal study of Swedish normal material. Nutr Rep Int 13:125, 1976.
- 40. Fomon SJ: Infant Nutrition, ed 2. Philadelphia, WB Saunders Co, 1974, pp 20-23.
- Picciano MF, Guthrie HA: Copper, iron and zinc contents of mature human milk. Am J Clin Nutr 29:242, 1976.
- 42. Bullen JJ, Rogers HJ, Griffiths E: Iron binding proteins and infection. *Br J Haematol* 23:389, 1972.
- Committee on Nutrition: Relationship between iron status and incidence of infection in infancy. *Pediatrics* 62:246, 1978.
- Masson PL, Heremans JF: Lactoferrin in milk from different species. Comp Biochem Physiol 39B:119, 1971.
- Mackay HMM: Nutritional Anemia in Infancy With Special Reference to Iron Deficiency, publication 157. Medical Research Council Special Report Series, London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1931.
- McMillan JA, Landaw SA, Oski FA: Iron sufficiency in breast-fed infants and the availability of iron from human milk. *Pediatrics* 58:686, 1976.
- 47. Saarinen UM, Siimes MA, Dallman PR: Iron absorption in infants: High bioavailability of breast milk iron as indicated by the extrinsic tag method of iron absorption and by the concentration of serum ferritin. J Pediatr 91:36, 1977.
- Theuer RC, Martin WH, Wallander JF, Sarett HP: Effects of processing on availability of iron salts in liquid infant formula products: Experimental milk-based formulas. J Agric Food Chem 21:482, 1973.
- Report of the Sixth-second Ross Conference on Pediatric Research: Iron Nutrition in Infancy. Columbus, Ohio, Ross Laboratories, 1970.
- 50. Wilson JF, Lahey ME, Heiner DC: Studies on iron

- metabolism: V. Further observations on cow's milk-induced gastrointestinal bleeding in infants with iron-deficiency anemia. *J Pediatr* 84:335, 1974.
- Weil WB Jr: Current controversies in childhood obesity. J Pediatr 91:175, 1977.
- Nutrition Committee: Nutrition Canada, National Survey: A National Priority: Report to the Department of National Health and Welfare. Ottawa, Information Canada, 1973.
- Report of the President's Biomedical Research Panel: I.
 Appendix A: The Place of Biomedical Science in Medicine and the State of Science, publication (OS) 76-50. US Dept of Health, Education and Welfare, April 30, 1976.
- Mann GV: The influence of obesity on health, parts I and II. N Engl J Med 291:178 and 226, 1974.
- deSwiet M, Fayers P, Cooper L: Effect of feeding habit on weight in infancy. Lancet 1:892, 1977.
- Eid EE: Follow-up study of physical growth of children who had excessive weight gain in first six months of life. Br Med J 2:74, 1970.
- Lloyd JK, Wolff OH, Whelen WS: Childhood obesity:
 A long-term study of height and weight. Br Med J 2:145, 1961.
- Charney E, Goodman HC, McBride M, et al: Child-hood antecedents of adult obesity: Do chubby infants become obese adults? N Engl J Med 295:6, 1976.
- Brook CGD, Dobbing J: Fat cells in childhood obesity. Lancet 1:224, 1975.
- Myres AW: Obesity: Is it preventable in infancy and childhood? Can Family Physician 21:73, April 1975.
- 61. Hall B: Changing composition of human milk and early development of an appetite control. *Lancet* 1:779, 1975.
- Sleigh G, Ounsted M: Present-day practice in infant feeding. Lancet 1:753, 1975.
- Garrard JW: Breast-feeding: Second thoughts. Pediatrics 54:757, 1974.
- 64. Ste-Marie MT, Lee EM, Brown WR: Radioimmunologic measurements of naturally occurring antibodies: III. Antibodies reactive with Escherichia coli or Bacteroides fragilis in breast fluids and sera of mothers and newborn infants. Pediatr Res 8:815, 1974.
- Mata LJ, Wyatt RG: Host resistance to infection. Am J Clin Nutr 24:976, 1971.
- Stoliar OA, Kaniecki-Green E, Pelley RP, et al: Secretory IgA against enterotoxins in breast-milk. *Lancet* 1:1258, 1976.
- Goldblum RM, Ahlstedt S, Carlsson B, Hanson LA: Antibody production by human colostrum cells, abstracted. *Pediatr Res* 9:330, 1975.
- 68. Ironside AG, Tuxford AF, Heyworth B: A survey of infantile gastroenteritis. Br Med J 3:20, 1970.
- Larsen SA Jr, Homer DR: Relation of breast versus bottle feeding to hospitalization for gastroenteritis in a middle-class U.S. population. J Pediatr 92:417, 1978.
- Mellander O, Vahlquist B, Mellbin T: Breast feeding and artificial feeding: A clinical, serological and biochemical study in 402 infants, with a survey of the literature: The Norrbotten study. Acta Paediatr Scand 48(suppl 116):1, 1959.
- 71. Winberg J, Wessner G: Does breast milk protect

- against septicaemia in the newborn? Lancet 1:1091, 1971.
- Adebonojo FO: Artificial vs breast feeding: Relation to infant health in a middle class American community. Clin Pediatr 11:25, 1972.
- Schaefer O: Otitis media and bottle feeding: An epidemiological study of infant feeding habits and incidence of recurrent and chronic middle ear disease in Canadian Eskimos. Can J Public Health 62:478, 1971.
- Iyengar L, Selvaraj RJ: Intestinal absorption of immunoglobulins by newborn infants. Arch Dis Child 47:411, 1972.
- Hanson LA, Winberg J: Breast milk and defense against infection in the newborn. Arch Dis Child 47:845, 1972.
- Walker WA, Isselbacher KJ: Physiology in medicine: Intestinal antibodies. N Engl J Med 297:767, 1977.
- 77. Iron and resistance to infection. Lancet 2:325, 1974.
- 78. Baltimore RS, Vecchitto JS, Pearson HA: Growth of Escherichia coli and concentration of iron in an infant feeding formula. Pediatrics, to be published.
- 79. Goldman AS, Smith CW: Host resistance factors in human milk. *J Pediatr* 82:1082, 1973.
- Glynn AA: Lysozyme: Antigen, enzyme and antibacterial agent. Scientific Basis of Medicine, annual review, 1968, p 31.
- 81. Gotoff SP: Neonatal immunity. J Pediatr 85:149, 1974.
- 82. Walker WA: Host defense mechanisms in the gastrointestinal tract. *Pediatrics* 57:901, 1976.
- Mata LJ, Mejicanos ML, Jimenez F: Studies on the indigenous gastrointestinal flora of Guatemalan children. Am J Clin Nutr 25:1380, 1972.
- 84. Bullen CL, Willis AT: Resistance of the breast-fed infant to gastroenteritis. Br Med J 3:338, 1971.
- Gray GM, Cooper HL: Protein digestion and absorption. Gastroenterology 61:535, 1971.
- Gerrard JW, MacKenzie JWA, Goluboff N, et al: Cow's milk allergy: Prevalence and manifestations in an unselected series of newborns. Acta Paediatr Scand, suppl 234, 1973.
- 87. Taylor B, Norman AP, Orgel HA, et al: Transient IgA: Deficiency and pathogenesis of infantile atopy. *Lancet* 2:111, 1973.
- 88. Matthew DJ, Taylor B, Norman AP, et al: Prevention of eczema. *Lancet* 1:321, 1977.
- Freier S, Kletter B, Gery I, et al: Intolerance to milk protein. J Pediatr 75:623, 1969.
- Eastham EJ, Walker WA: Effect of cow's milk on the gastrointestinal tract: A persistent dilemma for the pediatrician. *Pediatrics* 60:477, 1977.
- Hamburger RN, Orgel HA: The prophylaxis of allergy in infants debate, abstracted. *Pediatr Res* 10:387, 1976.
- 92. Barlow B, Santulli TV, Heird WC, et al: An experimental study of acute neonatal enterocolitis: The importance of breast milk. *J Pediatr Surg* 9:587, 1974
- 93. Herbst JJ: Diet and necrotizing enterocolitis, in Moore TD (ed): Necrotizing Enterocolitis in the Newborn Infant: Report of the 68th Ross Conference on Pediatric Research. Columbus, Ohio, Ross Laboratories, 1975, p 71.
- 94. De Lemos RA, Rogers JH Jr, McLaughlin GW: Exper-

- imental production of necrotizing enterocolitis in newborn goats, abstracted. *Pediatr Res* 8:380, 1974.
- Fomon SJ: Human milk in premature infant feeding: Report of a second workshop. Am J Public Health 67:361, 1977.
- Committee on Infant and Preschool Child: The sudden-infant-death syndrome. *Pediatrics* 50:964, 1972.
- 97. Enquiry Into Sudden Death in Infancy, Reports on Public, Health and Medical Subjects No. 113. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1965.
- 98. Tonkin S: Epidemiology of SIDS in Auckland, New Zealand, in Robinson R (ed): SIDS, 1974: Proceedings of the Francis E. Camps International Symposium on Sudden and Unexpected Death in Infancy. Toronto, Canadian Foundation for the Study of Infant Deaths, 1974, p 169.
- Froggatt P, Lynas MA, MacKenzie G: Epidemiology of sudden unexpected death in infants ("cot death") in Northern Ireland. Br J Prev Soc Med 25:119, 1971.
- Naeye RL, Ladis B, Drage JS: Sudden infant death syndrome: A prospective study. Am J Dis Child 130:1207, 1976.
- 101. Chance GW, Radde IC, Willis DM, et al: Postnatal growth of infants of < 3 kg birth weight: Effects of metabolic acidosis, of caloric intake and of calcium, sodium, and phosphate supplementation. J Pediatr 91:787, 1977.
- 102. Day GM, Chance GW, Radde IC, et al: Growth and mineral metabolism in very low birth weight infants: II. Effects of calcium supplementation on growth and divalent cations. *Pediatr Res* 9:568, 1975.
- 103. Atkinson SA, Bryand MH, Radde IC, et al: Effect of premature birth on total nitrogen and mineral concentration in human milk. Read before the Western Hemisphere Nutrition Congress V, Quebec City, August 1977.
- 104. Jelliffe DB, Jelliffe EFP: Lactation, conception, and the nutrition of the nursing mother and child. J Pediatr 81:829, 1972.
- 105. Applebaum RM: The obstetrician's approach to the breasts and breast-feeding. J Reprod Med 14:98, 1975.
- 106. Morley C: Paediatric priorities in the developing world, in Apley J (ed): Postgraduate Paediatric Series. London, Butterworth and Co, 1973, p 103.
- 107. Arena JM: Contamination of the ideal food. Nutr Today 5:2, 1970.
- 108. Catz CS, Giacoia GP: Drugs and metabolites in human milk, in Galli C, Jacini G, Pecile A (eds): Dietary Lipids and Postnatal Development. New York, Raven Press, 1973, p 247.
- 109. Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Department of National Health and Welfare—Committee Report, Information Letter DD-24. Ottawa, Department of National Health and Welfare, March 31, 1978.
- 110. Jonsson V, Liu GJK, Armbruster J, et al: Chlorohydro-

- carbon pesticide residues in human milk in greater St. Louis, Missouri, 1977. Am J Clin Nutr 30:1106, 1977.
- 111. Holdrinet MV, Braun HE, Frank R, et al: Organochlorine residues in human adipose tissue and milk from Ontario residents, 1969-1974. Can J Public Health 68:74, 1977.
- Newton N: Psychologic differences between breast and bottle feeding. Am J Clin Nutr 24:993, 1971.
- 113. Klaus MH, Jerauld R, Kreger NC, et al: Maternal attachment: Importance of the first post-partum days. N Engl J Med 286:460, 1972.
- 114. Fomon SJ, Anderson TA: Practices of Low-Income Families in Feeding Infants and Small Children With Particular Attention to Cultural Subgroups, Publication 725605. US Dept of Health, Education and Welfare, Maternal and Child Health Service, 1972.
- 115. Bain K: The incidence of breast-feeding in hospitals in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2:313, 1948.
- Martinez GA, cited by Fomon SJ: Infant Nutrition, ed
 Philadelphia, WB Saunders Co, 1974, p 8.
- Vahlquist B: Amningssituationem i i-och u-land: Tid for onwardering: Semper Nutrition symposium. Naringsforskning 17(suppl 8):17, 1973.
- Levin SS: A Philosophy of Infant Feeding. Springfield, Ill, Charles C Thomas Publisher, 1963.
- 119. Nunnally DM: A new approach to helping mothers breastfeed. *JOGN Nurs* 3:34, July/August 1974.
- 120. Bird IS: Breast-feeding classes on the post-partum unit.

 Am J Nurs 75:456, 1975.
- 121. Sloper K, McKean L, Baum JD: Factors influencing breast-feeding. *Arch Dis Child* 50:165, 1975.
- 122. Sedgwick JP: A preliminary report of the study of breast-feeding in Minneapolis. Am J Dis Child 21:455, 1921.
- 123. Jelliffe DB, Jelliffe EFP: Doulas, confidence and the science of lactation. *J Pediatr* 84:462, 1974.
- 124. Kolodny RD, Jacobs LS, Daughaday WH: Mammary stimulation causes prolactin secretion in non-lactating women. *Nature* 238:284, 1972.
- Wolstenholme G, Knight J (eds): Lactogenic Hormones: Ciba Foundation Symposium, 1972. Edinburgh, Scotland, Churchill Livingstone, 1972.
- 126. Ladas AK: How to help mothers breast feed: Deductions from a survey. Clin Pediatr 9:702, 1970.
- Davies DP, Thomas C: Why do women stop breast-feeding? Lancet 1:420, 1976.
- 128. Tompson M: The convenience of breast feeding. Am J Clin Nutr 24:991, 1971.
- 129. Eastham E, Smith D, Poole D, Neligan G: Further decline of breast-feeding. *Br Med J* 1:305, 1976.
- Bacon CJ, Wylie JM: Mothers' attitudes to infant feeding at Newcastle General Hospital in summer 1975. Br Med J 1:308, 1976.
- 131. Weichert C: Breast feeding: First thoughts. *Pediatrics* 56:987, 1975.
- 132. Jelliffe DB: Commerciogenic malnutrition. *Nutr Rev* 30:199, 1972.
- 133. The infant-food industry. Lancet 2:503, 1976.